

2013 spring HW8

1.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Ax = 0 = 0 \times x \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 6 \Rightarrow \lambda_3 = 6$$

$$\text{when } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0, (A - 0I)x = 0, \text{ eigenvector} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{when } \lambda_3 = 6, (A - 6I)x = 0, \text{ eigenvector} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

A is diagonalizable because A has linearly independent eigenvectors.

2.

(a) \because A doesn't have zero eigenvalue, nullity(A)=0 \therefore rank(A)=3

(b) \because $\det(A - \lambda I) = \det(A - \lambda I)^T = \det(A^T - \lambda I) = 0$ \therefore eigenvalues is still 1,1,2

(c) \because $A = S\Lambda S^{-1}, A^3 = S\Lambda^3 S^{-1}$ \therefore eigenvalues is 1,1,8

(d) \because $A = S\Lambda S^{-1} \Rightarrow A^2 = S\Lambda^2 S^{-1} \Rightarrow A^2 + I = S(\Lambda^2 + I)S^{-1} \Rightarrow (A^2 + I)^{-1} = S(\Lambda^2 + I)^{-1}S^{-1}$
 \therefore eigenvalues is 1/2,1/2,1/5

(e) $\det(A^T A) = \det(A^T) \det(A) = \det(A) \det(A) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) = 4$

(f) \because $A + 2I = S(\Lambda + 2I)S^{-1}$ \therefore $\det(A + 2I) = 3 \times 3 \times 4 = 36$

(g) \because $A + A^{-1} = S(\Lambda + \Lambda^{-1})S^{-1}$ \therefore $\det(A + A^{-1}) = (1 + 1/1) \times (1 + 1/1) \times (2 + 1/2) = 10$

(h) $\text{tr}(A + 2I) = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(2I) = (1 + 1 + 2) + (2 + 2 + 2) = 10$

(i) $\text{tr}(3A + 4A^T) = \text{tr}(3A) + \text{tr}(4A^T) = \text{tr}(3A) + \text{tr}(4A) = 7\text{tr}(A) = 7 \times 4 = 28$

(j) $\text{tr}(PAP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A) = 4$

3.

(a) \because The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the diagonal entries.

\therefore eigenvalues are 5, 1, 3, 2

(b) \because eigenvalue of $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ are 4, 5 ; eigenvalue of $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ -4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ are -3, 1;

\therefore eigenvalues are 4, 5, 1, -3

$$(c) C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Ax = 0 = 0 \times x \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0, \text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i = 4 \Rightarrow \lambda_4 = 4$$

4.

(a) True

\because Suppose A has an eigenvalue 0, then $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n = 0$, which means A is not invertible.

\therefore If A is invertible, then 0 is not an eigenvalue of A.

(b) True

$\det(A^2) = \det(A)\det(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n = 0 \Rightarrow$ A is not invertible.

\Rightarrow eigenvalues of A are the same $\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$

(c) True

\because different eigenvalue \therefore eigenvectors is linearly independent

(d) True

Suppose x_1 and x_2 are eigenvector of A, $Ax_1 = 0$, $Ax_2 = 0$

$A(x_1 + x_2) = Ax_1 + Ax_2 = 0 = 0$, $x_1 + x_2$ are also an eigenvector of A.

(e) False

$$N((A - \lambda I)^T) = N(A^T - \lambda I) \neq N(A - \lambda I)$$

(f) True

\because A has distinct eigenvalue \therefore eigenvectors are linearly independent.

\Rightarrow A is diagonalizable.

(g) False

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I, \text{ but A is not diagonalizable since eigenvectors } x_1 = x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(h) False

A = I is diagonalizable.

(i) True

A is diagonalizable, $A = SAS^{-1}$

$$A^T = (SAS^{-1})^T = (S^{-1})^T A^T S^T = (S^T)^{-1} A^T S^T \Rightarrow A^T \text{ is diagonalizable}$$

(j) False

\because A is diagonalizable \therefore A has distinct eigenvalues.

But eigenvalue of A could be 0 $\Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) \neq n$

5.

(a)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 1, x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, S = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^8 = S\Lambda^8S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^8 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 766 & -765 \\ 510 & -509 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)

$$A = SDS^{-1} \Rightarrow D = S^{-1}AS = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 12 \\ -2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.

(a)

$$(A - \lambda I) = SAS^{-1} - \lambda I = S(\Lambda - \lambda I)S^{-1}$$

$$(A - \lambda_1 I)(A - \lambda_2 I) \dots (A - \lambda_n I) = S(\Lambda - \lambda_1 I)S^{-1}S(\Lambda - \lambda_2 I)S^{-1} \dots S(\Lambda - \lambda_n I)S^{-1}$$

$$= S \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n - \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n - \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - \lambda_n & & & \\ & \lambda_2 - \lambda_n & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} S^{-1} = 0$$

Then $p(A)$ = zero matrix which is the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

(If A is not diagonalizable, one proof is to take a sequence of diagonalizable matrices approaching A)

(b)

$$\lambda = 1, -1, -3$$

$$(A - I)(A + I)(A - 3I) = A^3 - 3A^2 - A + 3I = 0$$

$$A^3 - 3A^2 - A = -3I$$

$$A^2 - 3A - I = -3A^{-1}$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{-1}{3}(A^2 - 3A - I) = \begin{bmatrix} -1/3 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 2/3 & -1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$